

8th March, 2022

The Secretary The National Stock Exchange of India Ltd. Exchange Plaza, 5 th Floor Plot No. C/1, G Block Bandra - Kurla Complex, Bandra (E) MUMBAI - 400 051	Department of Corporate Services BSE Limited 1 st Floor, New Trading Ring, Rotunda Building P J Towers, Dalal Street, Fort, MUMBAI – 400 001
--	--

Sub: Stay on Liquidation.

Dear Sir,

We write in to our letter dated 23rd February,2022. The National Company Law Appellate Tribunal Principal Bench, New Delhi vide its Order dated 7th March,2022 has stayed the Order of Liquidation dated 25th January,2022 and issued directions to the Committee of Creditors (CoC) to reconsider the revised Resolution Plan dated 7th February,2022. The requisite Order in this regard is attached.

You are requested to take the above on record.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,
for **BALLARPUR INDUSTRIES LIMITED**

AKHIL MAHAJAN
COMPANY SECRETARY

Encl: As above

(Ballarpur Industries Limited is undergoing Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process under Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code 2016. The affairs, business and assets of the corporate debtor are being managed by Mr. Anuj Jain, Resolution Professional vide Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal, Principal bench, New Delhi order dated May 27, 2020)

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 227 of 2022

IN THE MATTER OF:

Finquest Financial Solutions Pvt. Ltd.

...Appellant

Versus

Anuj Jain

Erstwhile RP of Ballarpur Industries Ltd. & Ors.

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant: Mr. Ramji Srinivasan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. N. P. S. Chawla, Mr. Surekh Kant Buxy, Ms. Kinjal Goyal, Mr. Sujoy Datta, Advocates.

**For Respondents: Mr. Ankur Mittal, Mr. Aishwarya Pandey and Ms. Meera Murali, Advocates for R-2.
Mr. Ramakant Rai, Mr. Yashish Chandra and Mr. Somesh Srivastava, Advocates for R-3.
Ms. Shreya Sircar, Ms. Sanjukta Roy, Ms. Jyotsna Punshi, Advocates for Kotak Bank.**

**O R D E R
(Virtual Mode)**

07.03.2022: Heard Shri Ramji Srinivasan learned counsel for the Appellant, learned counsel appearing for the CoC, learned Resolution Professional as well as learned counsel for the Kotak Mahindra Bank. This Appeal has been filed against order dated 25.01.2022 by which the Adjudicating Authority passed following order in I.A. No. 2510/2021:

I.A. 2510/2021

*L gn hhđ ląf a ă g Dăma ląf L gn
hhđ ląf a đđo l ă g o ăđ đđo
Dăll gl h f ăf hhđ ląf gf () ((*

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the Appellant that order which was contemplated on 25.01.2022 regarding liquidation could only be uploaded

Cont'd.../

on 22.02.2022. On this learned counsel for the Respondent have no objection and everyone agreed to the submission that order was uploaded only on 22.02.2022.

3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the Appellant that in the meantime, on 07.02.2022, Revised Resolution Plan was submitted by the Appellant which was considered by the CoC in its meeting dated 11.02.2022, where the CoC directed the Resolution Professional to file application before the Adjudicating Authority seeking reconsideration of the Resolution Plan. In pursuance to which I.A. No. 402/2022 was filed by the Resolution Professional for reconsideration of the Resolution Plan. It is submitted by learned counsel for the Appellant that on 21.02.2022 when applications were listed, Court was requested not to pass any order of liquidation since the application seeking reconsideration of Revised Resolution Plan was pending.

4. Learned counsel for the Kotak Mahindra Bank submits that they have objection to CoC reconsidering the Revised Plan since timeline has also expired and there is no occasion to reconsider the Revised Plan at this stage. Learned counsel for the Appellant submits that Kotak Mahindra Bank is having only 4.62% of voting share in the CoC, who has also raised objections in the CoC meeting dated 11.02.2022 and despite objections of Kotak Mahindra Bank, CoC authorised the Resolution Professional for filing an application for reconsideration of the Revised Plan.

5. In fact of the present case, we are of the view that ends of justice be served and order dated 25.01.2022 by which the learned Adjudicating

Authority has observed that liquidation is allowed be stayed. The order of liquidation is thus stayed.

6. Learned counsel for the Appellant submits that I.A. No.402 has already been dismissed on 21.02.2022 on the ground that order of liquidation having already passed, the application has become infructuous.

7. Considering above facts, we are of the view that ends of justice be served in issuing direction to the CoC to reconsider the Revised Resolution Plan dated 07.02.2022 within a period of six weeks from today. It goes without saying that Resolution Professional shall convene meeting of CoC for reconsideration of the Revised Plan forthwith.

List the Appeal on **19.04.2022** on which date decision of CoC be brought on the record, if any.

[Justice Ashok Bhushan]
Chairperson

[Dr. Alok Srivastava]
Member (Technical)

f ff