



IG PETROCHEMICALS LIMITED

13th November, 2025

BSE Limited Corporate Relationship Department 1 st Floor, P J Towers Dalal Street Mumbai - 400 001 Scrip Code: 500199	The National Stock Exchange of India Ltd. Exchange Plaza Bandra Kurla Complex Bandra (East) Mumbai – 400 051 Scrip Code: IGPL
---	--

Dear Sir,

Sub: Newspaper Advertisement for Postal Ballot Notice

Pursuant to Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, we enclose herewith copy of the newspaper advertisement published in today's newspaper viz—the Times of India (English) - Goa Edition about the Postal Ballot Notice and E-voting information.

Kindly take the same on your record.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,
For I G Petrochemicals Limited

Sudhir R Singh
Company Secretary

Encl: As above

Only 300 members in 31-MP JPC on 3 bills to oust mantris

Most Parties Refused To Join Panel

TIMES NEWS NETWORK

New Delhi: Lok Sabha Speaker Om Birla on Wednesday constituted a parliamentary committee to scrutinise three bills seeking removal of PM, CMs and ministers if they are under arrest for 30 consecutive days on serious criminal charges, with only three opposition members being part of the 31-MP panel after most opposition parties refused to join as a mark of protest against the proposed laws.

Second-term BJP MP Aparajita Sarangi will head the joint parliamentary committee which has 21 members from Lok Sabha and 10 from Rajya Sabha.

It includes 15 BJP MPs, including Shiv Shankar Prasad,



BJP MP Aparajita Sarangi

Bharturhari Mahtang and Anurag Thakur, 11 from its allies such as TDP, JDU, LJP(R), Shiv Sena, NCP and AAMDM, and three opposition MPs in Supriya Sule of NCP-SP, Asaduddin Owaisi of AIMM and Harshvardhan Kulkarni of Shivrajendra Akali Dal.

Others members are S Niranjan Reddy of YSR Congress, a party unaffiliated to either the governing NDA or opposition but which has often backed govt in Parliament, and nominated MP Sudha Murthy of the INDIA bloc are boycotting it. "This JPC is nothing but a rubber stamp for Modi's unconsented agenda. 340 MPs have boycotted because this govt formed the JPC without any consensus or parliamentary ethics," he said.

Home minister Amit Shah had introduced the Constitution (One Hundred And Thirtieth Amendment) Bill, 2025, the Government of Union Territories (Amendment) Bill 2025, and the J&K Reorganisation (Amendment) Bill 2025 in LS on Aug 20, and the House decided to send them to a parliamentary committee on his request.

Its introduction in LS and RS the next day witnessed fierce protests from opposition MPs who to copiers of bills & demanded the proposed laws be withdrawn. The bills have proposed that if PM, Union ministers or CMs are arrested or detained in custody for 30 consecutive days for offences that attract a jail term of at least five years, they will lose their position on the 31st day.

The committee has been constituted following an unusually long delay as the opposition INDIA bloc, barring NCP-SP after informal deliberation decided to be not part of the panel, leading to a fresh search.

Parliamentary affairs minister Kiren Rijiju and the speaker had reached out to Congress and other opposition parties. While Congress did weigh both the choices, it finally decided to join its regional allies in keeping away from the committee in a show of opposition unity. Congress and several other regional parties like DMK and DMK have termed the proposed laws as against the Constitution and federalism, and aimed at "destabilising" stategovts run by opposition parties.

Senior Congress MP Manickam Tagore was quick to slam the panels as "not a Joint Parliamentary Committee. It's a JPC of BJP & its B-Team", noting that over 340 MPs of the INDIA bloc are boycotting it.

The India Today-Axis My India poll projected that six per cent of the voters, NDA would get anywhere between 121 and 141 seats while the RJD-led Mahagathabandhan would end up with 41% of the votes and 98-118 seats. The midpoints of the ranges, at 131 and 108, are quite close to the verdict five years ago when NDA won 125 seats and MGB 110.

Today's Chanakya projected 148-172 seats for the NDA and 65-89 for the MGB. The two-thirds mark is 162 seats. This poll gave the NDA a 44% vote share, six percentage points higher than the last election, but lower than the 38% projected for MGB. Both exit polls suggested that the much-touted about-fan Suraj of the BJP-Kishor would have little impact and win

few if any seats. Interestingly, the India Today poll forecasts that RJD will remain the single largest party in the assembly, but BJP could drop to the third spot with JDU improving its tally and the saffron party doing significantly worse than in 2020.

Earlier, a slew of exit polls on Tuesday had given NDA a clear majority, differing only in the extent of the incumbent alliance's victory. The results are to be declared on Friday.

West Bengal State Electricity Distribution Company Limited (A Govt. of West Bengal Enterprise)
Regd. Office: Vidut Bhavan, Block-D, Sector-II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata - 700 091
CIN: U40109WB2007SGC13473, Tel. No.: +91 (33) 2319 7209, www.wbseidcl.in

Extract from the Limited Revenue and Consolidated Financial Results for the Quarter and Three Months ended 30.09.2025 (₹ in Lakh)

Sl. No.	Particulars	Quarter Ended			Consolidated		
		30.09.2025	30.09.2024	31.03.2025	30.09.2025	30.09.2024	31.03.2025
1	Total Income from Operations	106769	109561	307453	106769	109561	307453
2	Net Profit/(Loss) for the period (Before Tax, Exceptional and Extraordinary Items)	(1511)	1443	12854	(1511)	1443	12854
3	Net Profit/(Loss) for the period (Before Tax (after Exceptional and Extraordinary Items))	(1511)	1443	12854	(1511)	1443	12854
4	Net Profit/(Loss) for the period (after Tax (after Exceptional and Extraordinary Items))	(1913)	404	12055	(1913)	404	12055
5	Total Comprehensive Income for the period (Comprising Profit/(Loss) for the period (after tax) and Other Comprehensive Income (after tax))	936	1717	3388	936	1717	3388
6	Paid up Equity Share Capital	517720	411108	470080	517720	411108	470080
7	Reserves (excluding Retention Reserve)	60529	60820	60529	60529	60820	60529
8	Securities Premium Account	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Net Worth	543820	432945	496579	543820	432945	496579
10	Paid up Debt Capital / Outstanding Debt	1610385	1783828	1465771	1610385	1783828	1465771
11	Outstanding Redeemable Preference Share	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Debt Equity Ratio	1.37	2.01	1.54	1.37	2.01	1.54
13	Earnings Per Share of ₹10/- each (for Continuing and Discontinued Operations)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	1. Risk & Diluted after extraordinary items & net movement of Regulatory Deferral account balance (%) (Quarterly figures are not applicable)	1.36	1.28	0.40	1.36	1.28	0.40
15	2. Risk & Diluted after extraordinary items & net movement of Regulatory Deferral account balance (%) (Quarterly figures are not applicable)	(0.04)	0.01	0.29	(0.04)	0.01	0.29
16	Capital Redemption Reserve	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Debit Service Coverage Ratio	1.8126	21618	22451	1.8126	21618	22451
18	Debt Service Coverage Ratio	0.49	0.81	0.74	0.49	0.81	0.74
19	Interest Service Coverage Ratio	1.49	1.47	1.45	1.49	1.47	1.45

Notes:
1. The above is an extract of the detailed format of the Statements of Limited Revenue and Consolidated Financial Results filed with the Stock Exchange under Regulation 52 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, full format of the Statements of Limited Revenue and Consolidated Financial Results are available on the Company's website www.wbseidcl.in and at the website of Bombay Stock Exchange (www.bseindia.com).
2. The above results were reviewed and recommended by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors in its meeting held on 12th November, 2025 and approved by the Board of Directors in its meeting held on the same day.
3. The Limited Revenue and Consolidated Financial Results for the Quarter and Three Months ended 30th September, 2025 were carried out by the Auditor of the Company as required under Regulation 52 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015. The Auditor of the Company, R. G. & Associates, Chartered Accountants, have issued Limited Revenue and Consolidated Financial Results for the Quarter and Three Months ended 30th September, 2025.
4. Total value of secured, redeemable, non-convertible bonds issued by the Company on consolidated payment basis ₹ 100000 lakh. These securities are associated with Bombay Stock Exchange in Wholesale Debt Market. On 14th August, 2025 the Company had repaid ₹ 25000 lakh out of total value of secured, redeemable, non-convertible bonds of ₹ 100000 lakh.
5. The previous period figures have been re-grouped / re-classified / re-measured wherever necessary to conform current period's classification.
6. The Financial Statements of West Bengal Green Energy Development Corporation Limited (WBGEDCL), only Associate Company of WBSEIDCL, has been considered for preparation of Consolidated Financial Statements based on Management Certified Financial Statement obtained from the entity.
7. Ratios are calculated on annualized basis.

Place: Kolkata
Date: 12.11.2025
ICA-NS21(11)/2025

Dr. Kalpana Kamat (Advocate)
Off. 3, 4th Floor Sakinaka Tower, Near
Mond, Nagpur, Baroda, Goa
Email: 311@gmail.com, M-9824539596

India to get 8 cheetahs from Botswana during Murnu visit

Gaborone (Botswana): India and Botswana on Wednesday formally decided the plan to transfer eight cheetahs from the African nation during the state visit of President Droupadi Murmu.

The President, while thanking her counterpart President Duma Gideon Boko and the people of one of the world's largest diamond producer countries for the gesture, assured that "we will take good care of them (cheetahs)."

Boko said his country will symbolically hand over the big cats to "her excellency (Murnu)" on Thursday. The two heads of state will preside over the eight cheetahs will be released into a quarantine facility at the Mokolodi nature reserve, marking the symbolic handing over of the hunting cats to India in Botswana as part of Project Cheetah and under a mutual initiative. The cheetahs have been brought from a reserve 10 kms south of Gaborone, from the Ghanzi town located in the Kalahari desert.

ID parade flawed, court lets off 'serial sex offender'

But Recommends Compensation For Child Survivor

Rebecca Samervell
@timesofindia.com

Mumbai: In a rare ruling, a special Pcos court acquitted a suspected serial sex offender Rehan Qureshi of sexually assaulting a minor while simultaneously recommending compensation for the child, acknowledging it was proven she had been assaulted. Qureshi, who faced charges in 22 similar cases, was acquitted in the 2017 case primarily due to a flawed identification parade. Of these 22 cases across Mumbai, Navi Mumbai and Thane, Qureshi has been acquitted in three, with 19 more pending. In this case, on June 6, 2024, the child, 11, was allegedly raped by Qureshi, later identified as the suspect, under the pretext of delivering a geyser her mother had allegedly purchased. The man allegedly took her to multiple buildings before assaulting her on a terrace

Higher royalty rates for 4 critical minerals

Times News Network

New Delhi: The cabinet Tuesday approved higher royalty rates for four critical minerals—graphite, zirconium, caesium and rubidium—in a move aimed at boosting their mining and domestic production.

"The decision of the cabinet will promote auction of mineral blocks containing caesium, rubidium, zirconium, thereby not only unlocking these minerals but also associated critical minerals found with them such as lithium, tungsten, REEs and niobium," an official statement said after the cabinet meeting.

Aimal a global rush for critical minerals, govt is seeking to strengthen energy and supply chain security by enhancing local output. In Sept, it floated a tender for auctioning critical mineral blocks, including graphite (five blocks), rubidium (two) and one each of caesium and zirconium.

Royalty rate of graphite had been specified on a rupees-per-tonne basis since Sept 2021. It was the only mineral in the list of critical and strategic minerals with such a rate," the statement said. I&B minister Ashwini Vaishnaw said that royalty will now be ad valorem. Graphite with over 80% carbon will attract a 2% royalty on average selling price.

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on

with her. Therefore, the incident in question has been proved by the prosecution."

staircase. Qureshi was arrested from unit, where the link was lodged for another case. Relying on the child's statement, special judge Neeraj Anand remarked, "I have... come to the conclusion the victim is the sole witness to the incident, and her testimony is clear and convincing about the incident. Her testimony has sufficiently brought on record the incident which has taken place in the past."

However, the judge further noted the prosecution failed to prove beyond all reasonable doubt that Qureshi was the perpetrator. "Though the incident is proved, it is not proved the accused was the same person who committed the crime. It was not discussed on the victim