

AVI POLYMERS LTD.

Admin. Office: 103, Nalanda Complex, Premchand Nagar Road, Vastrapur, Ahmedabad-380015.

Mobile: +91 7048360390 + e-mail: avipolymer@gmail.com + URL: www.avipolymers.com

CIN: L27204JH1993PLC00523

D-1--30/05/2024

We request you to kindly take the same on your record.

Thanking You, Yours Faithfully

For, AVI Polymers Limited

Monika Shah

Company Secretary and Compliance Officer

(Membership No: 37823)

Place: Ahmedabad





RPAD

No: IC/MSME-D/MSEFC/CF/DP-720/ 1666

MSME COMMISSIONERATE,

Block 1-2, 4th floor, MSME-D Branch,

UdyogBhavan, Gandhinagar

Email: comm.msmegujarat@gmail.com

Website: www.ic.gujarat.gov.in

Ph No: 079232-52631 Date 04 /04/2021

BEFORE THE MICRO & SMALL ENTERPRISES FACILITATION COUNCIL, GANDHINAGAB, GUJARAT

(Constituted under Section 21 of the Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Act, 2006)

D.P.NO 720

M/s. AVI Polymers Limited, Ahmedahad 103. Nalanda Complex. Premehand Nagar road. Vastrapur, Ahmedahad- 380013	Claimant
Versus	
M/s Hindustan Cables Limited. 1/135, Garlahat Road, Kolkata. West Bengal – 700068	Respondent

The Applicant M/s. AVI Polymers Limited, Ahmedabad (hereinafter referred to as "the Claimant") has filed an application for the delayed payment before the Council, under Section 18(1) of the Micro. Small and Medium Enterprises Development Act, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act").

The Claimant has filed this application for the recovery of delayed payment of Rs 22,74,897/-as principle amount and Rs. 4,95,50,824/- as interest thereon against M/s Hindustan Cables Limited (hereinafter referred as "the Respondent)

The Applicant herein contents Miera category status having UAM no. GJ01A0107720 which was filled on dated 30/05/2018. The certificate indicates that the applicant is having Manufacture unit.

The council, after receipt of the said application, initiated conciliation proceedings under section 18(2) of the Act and issued notice to both the parties on 23/07/2018.

There after Council issued notice to the both the parties to app

There after Council issued notice to the both the parties to app

There after Council issued notice to the both the parties on 10/07/2019 fear before the Council for the Concillation process and Respondent was adsented that their entire case before the Council actided to grant text chance to both the parties to present their case before the Council.

The Council issued notice to the both the parties to appear bell ore the Council for the hearing on 21/12/2019 It was second meeting on the Conciliation process and Respondent again failed to remain present before the cong for the Conciliation process and Respondent again failed to remain present before the puncil. Respondent submitted a retter before the Council stating that he is ready to pay the puncil. Respondent submitted a retter before the Council decided to rinciple amount only, which is defined by the claimant, 50 on this note Council decided to grant last chance to respondent for conciliation process.

The Council issued notice to the both the parties to appear be fore the Council for the hearing on 27th MSLTC meeting on 25/01/2020. Respondent remained in this meeting. On this note council decided to grant next chance to both the parties to present their case before the Council.

The Council issued notice to the both the parties to appear to before the Council for the hearing on 162 MSET C meeting on 24/11/2020. On behalf of Applicant Mr. Sudip Dasani and his advocate Jaimin Dave were present and on behalf of Respondent Mr. A.K. Bose was present before the Council. It was fourth meeting for the Concilia ndent Mr. A.K. Bose was present were present before the Council. Respondent stated that they are only ready to pay the principle amount and raised objection on maintainability of Claim of the Applicant. After hearing both the parties at length Council suggested both the parties to settle their matter amicably between themselves. Hence Council gave in the parties to settle their dispute mutually.

The Council issued notice to the both the parties to appear before the Council for the hearing on 179 MSEFC meeting on 16/03/2021. It was fifth in eeting for the Conciliation process and respondent was absent before the Council. Council has taken tireless effort for conciliation despite that Conciliation is not possible between both the parties.

Considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, Council has decided to terminate the Conciliation proceedings under section [8(2)] of the Act in 179th MSEFC meeting held on in 179th Council further directed both the parties to initiate proceedings under section [6/03/2021]. Council further directed both the parties to initiate proceedings under section and therefore, the council unanimously passed the following order.

ORDER

Conciliation Proceeding u/s 18 (2) of the MSME-D Act 2006.

Collegian of Section 76 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Activirtue of Section 76 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Activirtue of Section 76 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Activirus (2) of the MSMF-D activity (2) of the MSMF-D by virtue of Section Activities and Local Activities of Section Ac postar the Arbitration and Conscillation Act, 1996. Council direct both the parties further orders that:

The Arbitration proceeding would be strictly conduct

and Conciliation Act. 1996 and MSME-D Act, 2006.

The Council reserved the right to Levy Cost on Arbitra proceeding and before passing Arbitral Award.

Council orders that Claimant has to submit the Claim i this order and Respondent would submit the reply in an

Submit rejoinder he can submit it in another 10 days. the order and Respondent would submit the reply in another 15 days, if the Claimant wants to cultura rejoinder he can submit it in another 10 days.

Member Secretary, MSEFC Joint Commissioner of Industries (MSMED)

Member Secretary, MSEFC Joint Commissioner of Industries (MSMED)

Chairman MSEFC Commissioner MSME