

Dated: 21.05.2018

- 1) **National Stock Exchange of India Limited [NSE]**
Listing Compliance
'Exchange Plaza', Bandra-Kurla Complex,
Bandra (East), Mumbai – 400 051

- 2) **BSE Limited**
Listing Compliance
P. J. Towers,
Dalal Street, Fort,
Mumbai – 400 001

Sub. : Clarification / Confirmation on Media Report

Dear Sir(s).

With reference to the Media Reports/ News item appeared on 07.05.2018 "Supreme Court Issues Notice to Unitech on Contempt Plea of Home Buyers", we wish to state that the Order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 7th May, 2018 and 14th May, 2018 are attached herewith which are self explanatory.

This is for your information, record and compliance under applicable Clauses of the Listing Regulations.

Thanking you,

Truly yours
For **Unitech Limited**

Dev

Rishi Dev
Company Secretary

Encl : Copy of Order dated 07.05.2018 & 14.05.2018.

ITEM NO.10

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CONMT.PET.(C) Nos.990-1002/2018 in C.A. Nos.6119-6148/2015

SATISH KUMAR PANDELY & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANJAY CHANDRA

Respondent(s)

Date : 07-05-2018 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Brajesh Kumar, AOR
Mr. A.P. Sinha, Adv.
Mr. R.R. Rajesh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Let a copy of the petition be served on
Mr. Abhimanyu Bhandari, learned counsel, who ordinarily
appears for the respondent in the connected matters.

Let the matter be listed on 14th May, 2018.

The Registry is directed to reflect the name of
Mr. Abhimanyu Bhandari, as a counsel for the respondent in
the Cause List on the next date of hearing.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION(C) Nos.990-1002 OF 2018
IN
CIVIL APPEAL Nos.6119-6148 OF 2015

SATISH KUMAR PANDEY & ANR.ETC.ETC.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

SANJAY CHANDRA

.....RESPONDENT

O R D E R

Having heard Mr.Ranjit Kumar, learned senior counsel along with Mr.Abhimanyu Bhandari, learned counsel for the respondent, it is directed that the order passed by this Court shall be complied with by the end of July, 2018.

With the aforesaid direction, the contempt petitions stand disposed of.

.....CJI.
(DIPAK MISRA)

.....J.
(A.M.KHANWILKAR)

.....J.
(Dr.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD)

Validity unknown
Digitally signed by
ASHWANI KUMAR
Date: 2018.05.15
17:33:01 IST
Reason:

NEW DELHI;
MAY 14, 2018.

Supreme Court Issues Notice To Unitech On Contempt Plea Of Homebuyers

[PTI](#)
[@PTI_News](#)

Updated on:7 May 2018, 10:58 PM
Published on:7 May 2018, 10:58 PM

The Supreme Court today sought a response from real estate major Unitech Ltd. on a plea seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against it for alleged non-compliance of its order to compensate some hassled home buyers for delayed delivery of their dream homes.

A bench of Chief Justice Dipak Misra and Justices AM Khanwilkar and DY Chandrachud issued notice to the real estate firm on a joint contempt petition moved by 13 homebuyers who claimed they were yet to receive a compensation of Rs 80,000 each as per the directions of the apex court on Sept. 20 last year.

The bench was hearing the contempt plea, moved through advocate Brajesh Kumar, by the homebuyers including one Satish Kumar Pandey.

The plea claimed that the apex court order directing the firm to pay Rs 80,000 each as compensation to 39 homebuyers towards litigation cost and causing harassment to them, has not been complied with as yet. However, some of the beneficiaries of the order have been paid, it added.

The petitioners said they had personally approached the competent authorities of Unitech Ltd, but they did not pay any heed to the requests.

The respondent has deliberately and wilfully disobeyed the order of this court, which is writ apparent from its conduct and, therefore, a clear cut case for initiation of proceeding for contempt of the order dated Sept. 20, 2017 is made out.

Supreme Court

The apex court had directed real estate firm to pay Rs 80,000 each as compensation to 39 home buyers towards litigation cost and causing harassment to them.

Also Read: [Supreme Court Starts Process For Auction Of Unitech Assets](#)

The court had disposed of the appeal filed by the real estate company against the order of the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission and asked it to pay the cost within eight weeks to the home buyers.

The 39 homebuyers had booked flats in Unitech's Vista housing project in Gurugram and sought a refund of their principal amount, totalling Rs 16.55 crore with interest, after the developer, who had promised to give them possession by 2012, delayed it.

The apex court had modified the NCDRC order on the issue of compensation and awarded a compensation of Rs 80,000, besides the refund of the money invested by the home buyers who

