



## MAHANAGAR TELEPHONE NIGAM LIMITED

(A GOVERNMENT OF INDIA ENTERPRISE)

CIN L32101DL1986GOI023501

Registered and Corporate Office: Mahanagar Doorsanchar Sadan 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, 9 CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi - 110 003. Tel: 011-24319020, Fax: 011-24324243, Website: [www.mtnl.in](http://www.mtnl.in) Email: [mtnlcsc@gmail.com](mailto:mtnlcsc@gmail.com)

MTNL/SECTT/SE/2026

January 27, 2026

<b>To,</b> <b>BSE Limited,</b> Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers, Dalal Street, Fort, Mumbai – 400 001. Scrip Code: 500108	<b>To,</b> <b>National Stock Exchange of India Limited (NSE)</b> Exchange Plaza, Plot No. C/1, G Block Bandra Kurla Complex, Bandra (East), Mumbai – 400 051 Scrip Symbol: MTNL
---	--

### **SUB: COMPLIANCE OF REGULATION 30 OF SEBI (LODR) REGULATIONS, 2015- DISCLOSURE OF EVENTS OR INFORMATION – DISCLOSURE OF IMPOSITION OF FINES OR PENALTIES BY TRAI - reg**

Dear Sir,

In compliance of Regulation 30 of SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015 and in terms of Sub-Para 20 of Para A of Part A of Schedule III, this is to inform you that MTNL has received order dated 23.01.2026 from Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI) for payment of financial disincentive amounting to Rs 11,00,000/- (Rupees Eleven Lakhs only) for failure to submit Compliance Report and for Contravention of the Provisions of the Standards of Quality of Service of Access (Wireline and Wireless) and Broadband (Wireline and Wireless) Service Regulations, 2024 (6 of 2024) for the month of April 2025.

Details pursuant to Sub-Para 20 of Para A of Part A of Schedule III is attached herewith.

Kindly take the same on record.

Thanking You  
Yours Faithfully

**(RATAN MANI SUMIT)**  
**COMPANY SECRETARY**

**DETAILS OF IMPOSITION OF FINE OR PENALTY DURING THE QUARTER IN TERMS OF SUB -PARA 20 OF PARA A OF PART A OF SCHEDULE III ARE GIVEN BELOW**

S. No.	Name of the Authority	Nature and details of the action(s) taken, initiated or order(s) passed	Date of receipt of direction or order, including any ad-interim or interim orders, or any other communication from the authority	Details of the violation(s)/contravention(s) committed or alleged to be committed	Impact on financial, operation or other activities of the listed entity, quantifiable in monetary terms to the extent possible
1.	TRAI	Financial Disincentive of Rs.11,00,000/-	TRAI order dated 23.01.2026 for Rs 11,00,000 Penalty.	Failure to submit Compliance Report and for Contravention of the Provisions of the Standards of Quality of Service of Access (Wireline and Wireless) and Broadband (Wireline and Wireless) Service Regulations, 2024 (6 of 2024) for the month of April 2025.	<p>Total Penalty of Rs. 11,00,000/-</p> <p>Further, there is no material impact on the Financial, Operation or other activities of MTNL.</p>



भारतीय दूरसंचार विनियामक प्राधिकरण  
**Telecom Regulatory Authority of India**  
 [भारत सरकार / Government of India]



Dated: 23.01.2026

**ORDER**

**Subject: Order for payment of financial disincentive for failure to submit compliance report and for contravention of the provisions of the Standards of Quality of Service of Access (Wireline and Wireless) and Broadband (Wireline and Wireless) Service Regulations, 2024 (06 of 2024) by M/s. Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited for Access Service (Wireless) for the month of April 2025.**

**No. RP-4/4/2(3)/2025-QoS.** Whereas the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (hereinafter referred to as the "Authority" or "TRAI"), established under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India Act, 1997 (24 of 1997), has made the Standards of Quality of Service of Access (Wireline and Wireless) and Broadband (Wireline and Wireless) Service Regulations, 2024 (06 of 2024) dated the 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2024 (hereinafter referred to as "regulations"), laying down the Quality of Service parameters to be met by service providers providing Access (Wireline and Wireless) and Broadband (Wireline and Wireless) Service;

2. And whereas regulation 6 and regulation 10 of the regulations, *inter alia*, provide that every service provider providing access service (wireless) shall meet the benchmarks for the Quality of Service parameters as specified under the said regulation, respectively;

3. And whereas sub-regulation (3) of regulation 15 of the regulations provides that the service providers shall publish on its website, the service wise geospatial coverage maps, which reads as under:-

**"15. Publication.— (1) ...**

**(2) ....**

**(3) Every service provider providing access service (wireless) shall publish on its website the service wise geospatial coverage maps in such a manner and format, as may be directed by the Authority from time to time, for the geographical areas where wireless voice or wireless broadband service is available for subscription by consumers."**

4. And whereas the Authority, in exercise of the power conferred upon it under regulation 13 of the regulations,, vide its Direction No. RG-17/(3)/2022-QoS dated the 19<sup>th</sup> September 2024 and Direction dated the 3<sup>rd</sup> January 2025, directed all the service providers to submit the compliance report (hereinafter referred to as "Performance Monitoring Report" or "PMR") within a period of fifteen (15) days from the end of respective quarter or month, as applicable, in the formats provided in the said directions;

5. And whereas M/s. Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited has not submitted the Performance Monitoring Report of different parameters of access service (wireless) for Delhi LSA for the month of April 2025, the details of which are contained in **Annexure-I** to this order, and has, *prima facie*, contravened the provisions of regulation 13 of the regulations;

6. And whereas, vide Direction No. F. No. RG-17/(3)/2022-QoS dated the 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2024, the Authority directed all service providers offering access services (wireless) to publish on their respective websites the service-wise (2G/3G/4G/5G) geospatial coverage maps for the geographical areas where wireless voice or wireless broadband service is available for consumer subscription; however, the Authority has observed that M/s. Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited has not published the said information on its website, despite having reported 100% compliance in the Performance Monitoring Report (PMR) for Mumbai LSA;

7. And whereas condition 9 of Chapter I of License Agreement for Unified License, *inter alia*, states that:-

***“9. Requirement to furnish information***

*9.1 The Licensee shall furnish to the Licensor/TRAI, on demand in the manner and as per specified timelines such documents, accounts, estimates, returns, reports or other information in accordance with the rules/ orders as may be prescribed or as directed from time to time. The Licensee shall also submit information to TRAI as per any order or direction or regulation issued from time to time under the provisions of TRAI Act, 1997 as amended from time to time.”;*

8. And whereas condition 29 of Chapter IV of License Agreement for Unified License, *inter alia*, provides that the Licensee shall operate and maintain the licensed network conforming to Quality of Service standards subject to such directions as Licensor/TRAI may give from time to time and failure to adhere to such Quality of Service standards is liable to be treated as breach of terms and conditions of the license and the relevant para of condition 29 reads as under:-

***“29. Quality of Service:***

*29.1 The LICENSEE shall ensure the Quality of Service (QoS) as may be prescribed by the Licensor or TRAI. The LICENSEE shall operate and maintain the licensed Network conforming to Quality of Service standards subject to such other directions as Licensor / TRAI may give from time to time. The LICENSEE shall adhere to such QoS standard and provide timely information as required therein. Failure on part of LICENSEE to adhere to the Quality of Service stipulations by TRAI/Licensor is liable to be treated as breach of terms and conditions of License.*

*The LICENSEE shall provide periodic information on compliance of QoS standards to TRAI/Licensor as per schedule notified.”;*

9. And whereas condition 10 of Chapter I of License Agreement for Unified License, *inter alia*, provides that the Licensor may impose a financial penalty and/or terminate/revoke the license of the Licensee by a written notice to the Licensee for failure to perform any obligation under the license or on recommendation by TRAI for non-compliance of the terms and conditions of the license and the relevant paras of condition 10 read as under:-

***“10. Penalty, Suspension, Surrender, Termination/Revocation of License:***

**10.1 (i)** The Licensor may impose a financial penalty not exceeding the amount shown in Annexure-VI for each service as per applicable service area per occasion for violation of terms and conditions of license agreement. This penalty is exclusive of Liquidated Damages, if any, as prescribed in this License Agreement.

**10.1 (ii) .....**

**10.2 (i)** The Licensor may, without prejudice to any other remedy available for the breach of any conditions of License, by a written notice to the Licensee at its registered office, terminate/revoke this License in whole or in part or any of the authorized service(s) under any of the following circumstances:

If the Licensee:

- a) fails to perform any obligation(s) under the License including timely payments of fee and other charges due to the Licensor, including securitization of dues;
- b) fails to rectify, within the time prescribed, any defect/ deficiency/ correction in service/ equipment as may be pointed out by the Licensor/ TRAI.
- c) .....
- d) is recommended by TRAI for revocation of License for non-compliance of the terms and conditions of the License.
- e) .....
- f) .....";

10. And whereas regulation 16 of the regulations provides for the levy of a financial disincentive on the service provider providing access service (wireless) for their failure to meet the Quality of Service benchmark, which reads as under:-

***"16. Consequences for the failure of service providers to meet the benchmark of Quality of Service parameters.-***

*(1) If a service provider fails to meet the benchmark of QoS parameters specified under sub-regulation (1) of regulation 4 or sub-regulation (1) of regulation 6 or sub-regulation (1) of regulation 9 or sub-regulation (1) of regulation 10, it shall, without prejudice to the terms and conditions of its license, or the Act or rules or regulations or orders made, or directions issued, thereunder, be liable to pay an amount, by way of financial disincentive, not exceeding rupees one lakh per benchmark for the first contravention as the Authority may, by order, direct:*

*Provided that if the service provider fails to meet the benchmark of the same parameter consecutively in two or more subsequent months or quarters, as applicable, he shall be liable to pay, by way of financial disincentives, an amount not exceeding rupees two lakhs for the second consecutive contravention and not exceeding rupees three lakhs for each consecutive contravention occurring thereafter:*

*(2) If the compliance report furnished by the service provider under regulation 13 is found to be false, it shall, without prejudice to the terms and conditions of its license or authorization, or the Act or rules or regulations or orders made, or, directions issued thereunder, be liable to pay an amount, by way of financial disincentive, not exceeding*

rupees two lakhs per benchmark, for which such false report has been furnished, for the first contravention as the Authority may, by order, direct:

*Provided that if the compliance report furnished by the service provider under regulation 13 is found to be false for the same parameter consecutively in two or more subsequent months or quarters, as applicable, the service provider shall be liable to pay, by way of financial disincentives, an amount not exceeding rupees five lakhs for the second consecutive false reporting and not exceeding rupees ten lakhs for each consecutive false reporting occurring thereafter.*

(3) *No order for payment of any amount by way of financial disincentive shall be made by the Authority unless the service provider has been given a reasonable opportunity of representing against the contravention of the regulation observed by the Authority.*

(4) *The amount payable by way of financial disincentive under these regulations shall be remitted to such head of account as may be specified by the Authority.”;*

11. And whereas regulation 17 of the regulations provides for levy of financial disincentive on the service provider providing access service (wireless) for their failure to submit compliance report, which reads as under:-

***“17. Consequences for failure of the service providers to submit compliance reports.-***

*(1) If a service provider contravenes the provisions of regulation 13, it shall, without prejudice to the terms and conditions of its license or authorization, or the provisions of the Act or rules or regulations or orders made, or, directions issued, thereunder, be liable to pay an amount, by way of financial disincentive, not exceeding rupees five thousand per report for every day during which the default continues, subject to the maximum amount of rupees ten lakhs, as the Authority may, by order, direct: Provided that no order for payment of any amount by way of financial disincentive shall be made by the Authority unless the service provider has been given a reasonable opportunity of representing against the contravention of the regulation observed by the Authority.*

*(2) The amount payable by way of financial disincentive under these regulations shall be remitted to such head of account as may be specified by the Authority.”;*

12. And whereas regulation 18 provides for consequences of failure of the service provider to pay financial disincentive within the stipulated time, which reads as under:-

***“18. Consequences for the failure of the service providers to pay financial disincentive within the stipulated time.-***

*(1) If a service provider fails to make payment of financial disincentive under regulation 16 or regulation 17 within a period of twenty one days from the date of issue of order for payment of financial disincentive or as stipulated in the order for payment of financial disincentive, it shall be liable to pay interest at a rate which will be 2% above the one year Marginal Cost of Lending Rate (MCLR) of State Bank of India existing as on the beginning of the Financial Year (namely 1st April) in which last day of the stipulated period falls and such interest shall be compounded annually.*

**Explanation:** For the purposes of this regulation, a part of the month shall be reckoned as a full month for the purpose of calculation of interest and a month shall be reckoned as an English calendar month.”;

13. And whereas the Authority issued a Show Cause Notice No. RP-4/4/2(4)/2025-QoS dated the 4<sup>th</sup> August 2025 to M/s. Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited directing the service provider to submit its explanation, in writing, within fifteen days from the date of issue of the said notice, as to why appropriate action for contravention of the provisions of the regulations should not be initiated against them for their failure to meet the Quality of Service benchmarks in Mumbai LSA, and for their failure to submit PMR for Delhi LSA, for the month of April 2025;

14. And whereas M/s. Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited, in response to the Show Cause Notice referred to in the preceding paragraph, vide their letter No. MTNL/RA/Quarterly-Quarterly Cellular/2020 dated the 21<sup>st</sup> July 2025, did not furnish the reason for its failure to meet the Quality of Service benchmarks in Mumbai LSA, or for its failure to submit PMR for Delhi LSA, for the month of April 2025;

15. And whereas the Authority observed that M/s. Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited has failed to meet the Quality of Service benchmark in Mumbai LSA and failed to submit PMR for Delhi LSA for the month of April 2025 and is, therefore, liable to pay financial disincentive for violation of the provisions of the regulations;

16. And whereas the details of financial disincentive payable by M/s. Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited for their failure to submit PMR for Delhi LSA and failure to meet the Quality of Service benchmark in Mumbai LSA along with the details of such failure and the analysis of the Authority thereon are contained in **Annexure-I and Annexure-II** to this order;

17. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred upon it under regulation 16 and regulation 17 of the Standards of Quality of Service of Access (Wireline and Wireless) and Broadband (Wireline and Wireless) Service Regulations, 2024 (06 of 2024), the Authority hereby directs M/s. Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited to pay, within twenty one days of issue of this order, an amount of **Rs. 11,00,000/- (Rupees Eleven Lakhs only)**, by way of financial disincentive, for contravention of the provisions of the regulations for the month of April 2025, through a demand draft/pay order, drawn on any scheduled bank in favour of “Telecom Regulatory Authority of India-Financial Disincentive” payable at New Delhi or through NEFT/RTGS as per details given below and intimate the same to the Authority, and if the same is not paid within twenty one days of issue of this order, the service provider shall be liable to pay interest in accordance with regulation 18 of the regulations.

**Account No.:** 520101223026413

**Bank and Branch:** Union Bank of India, Bhikaji Cama Place Branch,  
South-West Delhi - 110066

**IFS Code:** UBIN0903736

Digitally signed by  
TEJPAL SINGH  
Date: 23-01-2026  
17:18:09

**Advisor (QoS-I)**

To,

Shri Ravi A Robert Jerard,  
Chairman & Managing Director,  
**M/s Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited,**  
5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Mahanagar Door Sanchar Sadan  
9, CGO Complex,  
New Delhi - 110003.

**Annexure-I**

**Details of Financial Disincentive payable for non-submission of PMR by M/s. Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited for the month of April 2025, reasons for such failure and analysis of the Authority.**

<b>LS A</b>	<b>Due date of submission of PMR</b>	<b>Date of Submission of PMR</b>	<b>Delay (in days)</b>	<b>Basis of calculation (in Rs.)</b>	<b>Explanation furnished by Service Provider</b>	<b>Observations of the Authority</b>	<b>Applicable FD as per Regulation (in Rs.)</b>
DL	15.05.2025	Not Submitted	201*	5000 per day	MTNL has not provided any explanation or clarification regarding the non-submission of the PMR in its reply to the SCN.	Submitting PMR within the specified time limit is the responsibility of service provider.	10,00,000
						<b>Total</b>	10,00,000

\*As on 1<sup>st</sup> December 2025.

**Annexure-II****Details of Financial Disincentive payable for non-compliance of the QoS Parameters by M/s. Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited for the month of April 2025, reasons for such failure and analysis of the Authority.**

<b>Parameter &amp; Benchmark</b>	<b>LSA</b>	<b>Performance in April 2025</b>	<b>Explanation furnished by Service Provider</b>	<b>Observation of Authority</b>	<b>Financial Disincentive imposed (in Rs.)</b>
Availability of service wise geospatial coverage map on service provider's website for percentage of working cells ≥ 99%	MUM	100.00%	MTNL has not provided any explanation or clarification regarding the non-publication of geospatial coverage maps in its reply to the SCN.	It is the responsibility of the Service Provider to publish the geospatial coverage map on its website. However, M/s. MTNL has failed to publish the same.	1,00,000
				<b>Total</b>	<b>1,00,000/-</b>

---

वर्ल्ड ट्रेड सेंटर, टावर-एफ, नौरोजी नगर, नई दिल्ली - 110029

**World Trade Centre, Tower-F, Nauroji Nagar New Delhi - 110029**