



We create chemistry

BASF India Limited, Mumbai - 400 079, India

27th November, 2025

The Market Operations Department
BSE Limited,
Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers
Dalal Street, Mumbai 400 001.

Name of the Company : **BASF INDIA LIMITED**
Security Code No. : **500042**

Dear Sir/Madam,

Sub: Newspaper Advertisement for Notice of Postal Ballot & remote E-voting facility to the Members

Further to our letter dated 26th November, 2025, please find enclosed herewith a copy of advertisement published in Business Standard (English – All Editions) and Mumbai Lakshadeep (Marathi Mumbai Edition) dated 27th November, 2025 regarding notice of Postal Ballot and remote E-voting facility to the Members of the Company, for your reference.

The same will also be available on the Company's website i.e. www.basf.com/in.

You are requested to take note of the above.

Thanking You

Yours faithfully
For BASF India Limited

Manohar Kamath
Director – Legal, General Counsel (India)
& Company Secretary

Pankaj Bahl
Senior Manager – Legal & Secretarial

Encl: as above

cc: The Assistant Manager- Listing
The National Stock Exchange of India Ltd.
Exchange Plaza,
Plot No.C/1, G Block
Bandra – Kurla Complex
Bandra (East), Mumbai – 400 051.

BASF India Limited
Unit No.10A, 10B & 10C (part),
10th Floor, Godrej One,
Pirojsha Nagar, Eastern Express Highway,
Vikhroli (East), Mumbai - 400 079, India

Tel +91 22 6834 7000

CIN - L33112MH1943FLC003972

www.basf.com/in

MNC? India wants to know who calls the shots

The new test for what constitutes a permanent establishment — the Indian unit of a multinational company — has major tax implications for businesses. The new principle is ‘control’ rather than physical presence

MONIKA YADAV
New Delhi, 26 November

For years, whether or not a multinational company in India had to pay taxes hinged on one overarching question: Do they have a permanent establishment (PE) here? If the answer was no, the company either did not pay any taxes or would have to pay a flat tax with no examination of profit. But if a physical office existed, the company would have to file full tax returns, maintain books, undergo audits, and pay profit-based tax, making PE status one of the most contested issues in cross-border taxation in India.

Now, following a landmark ruling by the Supreme Court in July and a string of tribunal decisions involving service-based operations, India’s interpretation of what constitutes a PE is expanding. Following the ruling, involving UAE-based Hyatt International Southwest Asia, which provides hotel advisory services in India, the multinational doesn’t need to have a brick-and-mortar office and staff to be taxed for profit.

The emphasis is moving away from physical presence — offices, employees or fixed facilities — to whether a foreign company exercises meaningful control over business functions carried out in India. Who, in other words, is running the show.

Core challenge

At its heart, a PE refers to a foreign company having a business presence in India. Traditionally, this meant a fixed place of business with some ‘permanence’ — an office, branch, factory or site where the company had control. But courts are increasingly emphasising a “substance over form” principle: What matters here is not what the contract says, but what actually happens on the ground, according to experts. Kunj Vaidya, partner with PwC, notes that the determination of a PE today depends on whether the foreign company has real operational involvement in India.

“Courts are looking at the totality of conduct rather than contractual labels. If a foreign enterprise is directing or managing crucial business functions in India, the absence of a physical office may not protect it from being treated as having a PE,” he says.

A critical ingredient of evaluating whether a PE is constituted are contacts executed between a foreign company and the Indian unit. These could take multiple forms, including inter-company agreements and employment agreements, according to Chetan Daga, founder-partner with AdvantEdge Consulting.

Once a PE is established, the next issue is profit attribution. India taxes only that portion of profits that is connected to Indian activities, based on the functions performed, and assets used locally, among others. This attributable income is taxed at the ‘foreign company tax rate’ of 35 per cent plus surcharge and cess.

What Hyatt changed

The Supreme Court’s decision, on a challenge by Hyatt, has become the defining reference point for modern PE interpretation. In assessing whether Hyatt’s foreign operator had a PE in India, the Court introduced three broad indicators: stability, productivity and dependence. Stability related to the long-term nature of the hotel management agreement; productivity involved the foreign entity earning revenue-linked fees tied directly to the hotel’s performance in India rather than globally; and dependence referred to the Indian hotel’s reliance on the foreign operator’s systems, personnel and approvals. Crucially, the court looked beyond the agreement’s language, which described the foreign operator’s role as “strategic” or “oversight.”

Instead, it examined actual conduct: Hyatt appointed and supervised the general manager, controlled pricing and branding, influenced human resources and procurement policies, and exercised real managerial authority. These actions, the apex court held, went far beyond advisory oversight and amounted to direct operational involvement.

This said Dhruv Kumar, a char-



ILLUSTRATION: BINAY SINHA

tered accountant with PwC, mirrors the reasoning in an older ruling on Formula One, where the three-day racing event was held to constitute a PE because the foreign organiser had complete control over the race track during the course of the event. “The Court’s emphasis then—and now—is on disposal and control rather than duration or ownership.”

Amit Maheshwari, tax partner at AKM Global, observed that the Hyatt ruling confirms the growing trend of recognising a PE on the basis of economic substance. “Active and continuous control over Indian operations can trigger a PE even without an office. This marks a decisive shift from physical presence to functional presence,” he says.

New terms in play

Control, in simple language, refers to who is actually running the business activities in India. If a company with its headquarters in another country is making key decisions, approving budgets, appointing senior staff or influencing policies, it may be considered to have control. Presence, traditionally understood as having an office or employees in the country, now extends to situations where the foreign company’s economic footprint resembles an on-ground presence. Profit attribution answers the practical question: how much of the foreign company’s income is genuinely generated from the work done in India? Only that part is taxable.

Why it matters

These developments have far-reaching implications for technology, hospitality and consulting firms. “For technology companies, especially after the withdrawal of the 6 per cent equalisation levy, PE rules once again become central,” said Maheshwari.

Ongoing disputes on PE, involving, for instance, Mastercard, show that even servers or digital infrastructure may be examined for economic ownership and business relevance, according to Vaidya. The hospitality sector faces the most direct consequences, as Hyatt-style management contracts, which established strong commercial links with various Indian hotels, are common. Foreign operators may need to reassess the extent of control they retain over Indian properties.

Consulting and professional service firms must continue to balance ‘day-count rules’ and manage how teams based abroad operate during India visits, as service PE remains closely tied to physical presence. Foreign companies in other models—such as global capability centres (GCCs), captive units, contract manufacturing, and franchise arrangements—may also see new tax questions emerging after Hyatt.

Day count rules refer to the number of days foreign employees are allowed to spend in India on work. Exceeding the number of days can trigger a PE. Kunj Vaidya of PwC said the

Who is present, who is not

- Existence of permanent establishment (PE) now depends on who directs Indian operations, not only whether an MNC has an office or any other form of presence in India
- Courts are examining actual conduct, not labels like ‘advisory’ or ‘oversight’ or matters stated as terms of a contract
- Hyatt’s control over staffing, pricing, and branding was held to create a PE, despite no physical base contractually belonging to the foreign company
- Tech firms, hotel operators, and consulting companies face greater PE exposure
- Companies must show who makes strategic decisions, especially in GCCs, franchises, and secondments
- NITI Aayog suggests optional presumptive profit attribution to cut PE profit attribution disputes
- Experts say clarity in Budget FY27 and strong records will be key to reducing litigation

ruling will force a closer look at the role played by the foreign enterprise and the level of day-to-day involvement it has in its Indian business. In many multinational companies, headquarters typically issue policies, quality standards and guidelines that Indian and other foreign units are expected to follow. Vaidya noted that while this is accepted practice, tax authorities may now examine whether such alignment leaves the Indian entity with limited operational freedom, and whether that could be viewed as a form of control.

For instance, contract manufacturers also face a similar situation as they are expected to follow the principal’s instructions on processes and production. According to Dhruv Kumar, this alone should not create a PE, but companies may need clearer documentation to show that operational decisions are still taken locally and that the foreign enterprise is not running the show. Kumar added that secondment arrangements involving temporary transfers of employees, too, must be assessed carefully. While Hyatt was not a secondment case, and the ruling does not mean every secondment leads to a PE, if the seconded employees work under the control and supervision of the Indian company, both contractually and in practice, a PE should not arise.

Vaidya said intra-group service models, franchise structures and management contracts—where the foreign party often has defined oversight and brand-related standards—may now face higher PE risk.

The way ahead

As PE disputes grow more complex — particularly in digital and service-led business models — experts say India needs rules that offer clarity without weakening its legitimate right to tax. A recent report by the NITI Aayog points in that direction. It suggests moving to ‘presumptive

profit attribution’, where predefined profit margins are assigned to different industries. Foreign companies can choose this simpler system instead of detailed transfer pricing analysis, and those opting in would not need to litigate the existence of a PE for that activity. The scheme is optional, reduces compliance, and is intended to remain aligned with treaty principles.

Kunj Vaidya considers these recommendations a constructive move towards certainty but said the government must carefully evaluate the proposed margins to ensure they match industry realities. He noted that while presumptive attribution could reduce litigation, it marks a shift away from precedents that have upheld application of transfer pricing principles for attribution. Transfer pricing refers to how multinational companies set prices for goods or services exchanged between their own group entities in different countries. He said these recommendations must be implemented in a way that is consistent with treaty obligations.

Abhishek A Rastogi, founder of Rastogi Chambers, is more cautious. Because these changes would be unilateral amendments to domestic law, they may clash with treaty-based profit attribution rules. If India attributes high presumptive profits, the foreign jurisdiction may refuse to grant full credit, resulting in double taxation. He pointed out that this concern becomes more acute as digital PE disputes — such as those involving servers or economic ownership of infrastructure — gain prominence. For cases where a PE is accepted, Advance Pricing Agreements (APA) could offer stability and help avoid litigation that often drags on for years, he said. An APA is a binding agreement between a company and the tax authority that predetermines how its cross-border transactions will be priced for tax purposes for future years, so that there are no disputes later.

Both Vaidya and Rastogi stressed that multinationals must maintain strong documentation — clarifying employee roles, functions performed in India, and the division of responsibilities between Indian and overseas teams.

Courts in India and globally continue to prioritise substance over form, and the Hyatt ruling reinforces that approach. Clear, consistent records and transparent operating structures will be essential safeguards against prolonged PE disputes in the years ahead.

Experts said the seriousness of the issue is evident in the NITI Aayog’s move to propose an alternative mechanism, signalling that policymakers recognise the uncertainty in the current system. But for companies to have confidence in any mechanism, the upcoming Union Budget FY27 must settle key operational questions such as industry margins, treaty compatibility and safe-harbour conditions. “The intent is clear — India wants a simpler system. What we now need is clarity in the Budget so that businesses know how to apply it in practice,” said Rastogi.

When industry giants speak, everyone listens.

In-depth Q&As with market mavens — every Monday in Business Standard.

To book your copy, SMS reaches to 57575 or email order@bsmail.in



Business Standard
Insight Out



AUROBINDO PHARMA LIMITED

(CIN - L24239TG1986PLC015190)
Regd. Office: Plot No.2, Maithrivihaar, Ameerpet, Hyderabad – 500 038.
Telangana, India Tel. No. +91 40 2373 6370
Corp. Office: Galaxy, Floors: 22-24, Plot No.1, Survey No.83/1, Hyderabad Knowledge City, Raidurg Panmaktha, Hyderabad – 500 032, Telangana, India.
Tel No. +91 40 66725000 / 66721200
E-mail: info@aurobindo.com; Website: www.aurobindo.com

NOTICE

(For the Kind Attention of Shareholders of the Company)

Transfer of Equity Shares of the Company to the Investor Education and Protection Fund

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to Section 124(6) of the Companies Act, 2013 (the “Act”) read with the Investor Education and Protection Fund Authority (Accounting, Audit, Transfer and Refund) Rules, 2016 (the “Rules”), the Company is required to transfer the equity shares in respect of which dividend remains unpaid or unclaimed for seven consecutive years to the Investor Education and Protection Fund (IEPF).

A list of shareholders who have not encashed their dividends for seven consecutive years from the second interim dividend declared by the Company in the financial year 2018-19 and whose shares are therefore liable for transfer to the IEPF account, is displayed on the website of the Company at www.aurobindo.com.

As per the said Rules, the Company has sent individual communication to the concerned shareholders whose shares are liable to be transferred to IEPF account for taking appropriate action and for submitting requisite documents to claim the unclaimed dividend amount(s) by March 5, 2026. In the absence of receipt of a valid claim from the concerned shareholder, the Company will proceed to transfer the said shares to IEPF account without any further notice. All future benefits, including dividends arising on such shares, would also be transferred to IEPF.

Please note that no claim shall lie against the Company in respect of unclaimed dividend amounts and shares transferred to IEPF pursuant to the said Rules. However, shareholders can claim shares and dividend transferred to IEPF by complying with the due procedure given in the Rules, details of which are also available at www.iepf.gov.in.

For any information / clarification on the matter, the concerned shareholder may write to the Company at ig@aurobindo.com or contact the Company’s Registrar and Share Transfer Agent - M/s KFin Technologies Ltd., Selenium, Tower-B, Plot 31 & 32, Gachibowli, Financial District, Nanakramguda, Hyderabad – 500032, Toll Free No. 1- 800-309-4001, email ID: einward.ris@kfinotech.com.

For Aurobindo Pharma Limited
Sd/-
B. Adi Reddy
Company Secretary

Place : Hyderabad
Date : 26.11.2025



Abbott India Limited

CIN: L24239MH1944PLC007330
Regd Office: 3, Corporate Park, Sion-Trombay Road, Mumbai - 400 071
Tel No.: 022-5046 1000/2000
Email ID: investorrelations.india@abbott.com Website: www.abbott.co.in

SPECIAL WINDOW FOR RE-LODGE MENT OF TRANSFER REQUESTS OF PHYSICAL SHARES

In accordance with SEBI Circular No. SEBI/HO/MIRSD/MIRSD-PoD/P/CIR/2025/97 dated July 2, 2025, Shareholders of Abbott India Limited are hereby informed that a special window has been opened from July 7, 2025 to January 6, 2026 for re-lodgement of transfer deeds. This special window for re-lodgement of transfer deeds is available to only those Shareholders whose transfer deeds were lodged prior to April 1, 2019 for transfer of physical shares and rejected/ returned due to deficiency in documents.

Shareholders who wish to avail the opportunity are requested to submit the original transfer documents, after rectifying the deficiencies raised, to the Company’s Registrar and Transfer Agent, KFin Technologies Limited, Unit: Abbott India Limited, Selenium Building, Tower B, Plot No. 31-32, Financial District, Nanakramguda, Serilingampally, Hyderabad - 500 032.


The shares that are re-lodged for transfer shall be issued only in demat form. In case of any queries, Shareholders are requested to raise a service request at einward.ris@kfinotech.com and investorrelations.india@abbott.com.

For Abbott India Limited
Sd/-
Sangeeta Shetty
Company Secretary
Membership No.: ACS 18865

Place : Mumbai
Date : November 27, 2025

BASF India Limited

Regd. Office : Unit No.10A, 10B & 10C (part), 10th Floor, Godrej One, Pirojsha Nagar, Eastern Express Highway, Vikhroli (East), Mumbai – 400 079, India.
Tel: 022-69347000.



NOTICE

Notice is hereby given to the Members of BASF India Limited (“the Company”) pursuant to the provisions of Section 108, 110 and other applicable provisions, if any, of the Companies Act, 2013 (“the Act”), read with Rule 20 and 22 of the Companies (Management and Administration) Rules, 2014 (“the Rules”), Regulation 44 of Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 (“SEBI Listing Regulations”), Secretarial Standards, as applicable, to General Meetings issued by The Institute of Company Secretaries of India (“SS-2”) including any statutory modification(s) or re-enactment thereof for the time being in force, circulars issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (“MCA”) from time to time (collectively referred to as “MCA Circulars”) and subject to other applicable laws and regulations, that the approval of Members is being sought by way of Postal Ballot through remote e-voting in respect of the resolutions as set forth in the Postal Ballot Notice dated 14th November 2025. (“Notice”).

The Notice has been sent on Wednesday, 26th November 2025 through electronic mode to all those Members whose e-mail addresses are registered with the Company / MUFG Intime India Private Limited (Formerly Link Intime India Private Limited) / Depository Participants as on Friday, 21st November 2025 (“Cut-off Date”). The requirement of sending physical copies of the Notice, postal ballot form, business reply envelope to the Members has been dispensed with vide the MCA Circulars.

The copy of the Notice is also available on the website of the Company at www.basf.com/in, the Stock Exchanges i.e. BSE Limited (‘BSE’) and The National Stock Exchange of India Limited (‘NSE’) at www.bseindia.com and www.nseindia.com, respectively and National Securities Depository Ltd at www.evoting.nsdl.com

In terms of Section 108 of the Act read with Rule 20 of the Rules, as amended, the SEBI Listing Regulations and SS2, the Company has engaged the services of National Securities Depository Ltd to provide its Members with the e-voting facility to exercise their votes on the said resolutions.

The Members are requested to take note of the following instructions for E-voting:

- The Notice is being sent to the Members of the Company whose names appear in the Register of Members or Register of Beneficial Owners, as received from the Depositories as on the Cut-off Date. A person who is not a Member as on the Cut-off date are requested to treat this Notice for information purposes only.
- A person whose name is recorded in the Register of Members or in the Register of Beneficial Owners maintained by the Depositories as on the Cut-off Date shall be entitled to vote on the resolutions set forth in the Notice. Once the vote on a resolution is cast by the Member, the vote cannot be modified subsequently by such Member.
- The remote e-voting period will commence on **Monday, 1st December 2025 at 9.00 am (IST)** and end on **Tuesday, 30th December 2025 at 5.00 p.m. (IST)**. The remote e-voting shall be disabled for voting thereafter by National Securities Depository Ltd.
- In case of any queries, you may refer the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) for Members and e-voting user manual for Members available at the download section of www.evoting.nsdl.com or call on : 022-4886 7000 or send a request to evoting@nsdl.com, or contact Mr. Amit Vishal or Ms. Pallavi Mhate of National Securities Depository Ltd., at the designated email ID: evoting@nsdl.com to get your grievances on e-voting addressed. Members are requested to carefully read all the notes set out in the Notice and in particular the procedure for casting the vote electronically through remote e-voting.

Mr. Hemant Shetye, Practicing Company Secretary (Membership No. FCS-2827 & Certificate of Practice No. 1483) and Designated Partner of M/s HSPN & Associates LLP has been appointed by the Company as Scrutinizer for conducting the e-voting process in a fair and transparent manner.

The Scrutinizer will submit his report to the Chairman of the Company or to any other person authorized by the Chairman after the completion of the scrutiny of the remote e-voting. The results of the remote e-voting on the resolutions set forth in the Notice shall be announced on or before **Friday, 2nd January 2026**. The said results will be intimated to the Stock Exchanges where the shares of the Company are listed and displayed on the Company’s website www.basf.com/in and also on the website of National Securities Depository Ltd.

For BASF India Limited
Sd/-
Manohar Kamath
Director – Legal, General Counsel (India) & Company Secretary

Place : Mumbai
Date : 27th November 2025

गुरुवार, दि. २७ नोव्हेंबर, २०२५

२६/११ च्या दहशतवादी हल्ल्यातील शहिदांना मुख्यमंत्र्यांचे अभिवादन

मुंबई, दि. २६: मुंबईवर २६ नोव्हेंबर २००८ रोजी भ्याड दहशतवादी हल्ला झाला. हा हल्ला परतवून लावताना या हल्ल्यात मुंबई पोलीस दलातील अधिकारी, कर्मचारी तसेच सुरक्षा दलातील अधिकारी शाहिद झाले. या हल्ल्यात वीरमरण आलेल्या शहिदांना मुख्यमंत्री देवेंद्र फडणवीस यांनी आदरांजली वाहिली. मुंबई पोलीस आयुक्त कार्यालयाच्या प्रांगणातील त्यांच्या स्मृती स्मारकास पुष्पचक्र अर्पण करून अभिवादन केले.

शहीद स्मारक येथे आयोजित अभिवादन कार्यक्रमस विधानसभान अध्यक्ष अॅड राहुल नावेंकर, सांस्कृतिक कार्य मंत्री अॅड आशिष शेलार, कौशल्य, उद्योजकता व रोजगार मंत्री मंगलप्रभात लोढा, मुख्य सचिव राजेश कुमार, गृह

विभागाचे अपर मुख्य सचिव इक्बाल सिंग चहल, पोलीस महासंचालक रश्मि शुक्ला, मुंबईचे पोलीस आयुक्त देवेन भारती यासह वरिष्ठ पोलीस अधिकारी आणि शहीद कुटुंबीयांचे सदस्य उपस्थित होते. यावेळी सर्व उपस्थितांनी पुष्पचक्र अर्पण

<div>जाहीर नोटीस</div> <div>माझे अंशिल सौ. जवाना परेश महानुभ यानी दिलेल्या माहितीवरून जाहीर नोटीस देत आहे की, खाली नमूद असलेली निवासी मिळकत ही मूळ भाडेकरू श्रीम. कमल शारदकुमार मखेरिया यांच्या नावे आहे. त्यांचे दि.१७/०५/२०१४ रोजी निधन झाले आहे. त्यामुळे त्यांचे पत्नी श्री. शरदकुमार मखेरिया यांचे हक्क दि. ०६/०८/२०१५ रोजी निधन झाले आहे. त्यांचा पश्चात सद्दर मिळकतीची यांची मुलगी सौ. प्राप्तिनी दिपक करिया हि एकमेव वारसदार असून सौ. यांपिनी दिपक करिया यांनी सदर मिळकत दिनांक २७/०२/२०१७ रोजी मुद्रया अंशिल्याना नावे हस्तांतर केली, तरी सदर मिळकतीबाबत कोणता व्यक्तीचा / आणि कस संस्था कोणता / दावा / बोवा / हक्क / अधिकार किंवा हरकत असल्यास मा. प्राश्नाकीर्ण अधिकारी (मालमता) मं. नं. पा. १८/२०१५ किंवा, यादकार पुरी, मुंबई ४००००८ या पत्तावर किंवा मध्यमवर्गीय न्याय्य अदालत येथील कोणत्याही न्यायावर आपली हेकी हरकत नोटीस जाहीर झाल्यापासून १५ दिवसांच्या आत पुराव्यानिशी सादर करावी. दिलेल्या वेळेनंतर कुठराही दावा अशिलवार बेधकाकट जाणार नाही.</div> <div>मिळकतीचा तपशील</div> <div>खोली क्र.-५, चालू क्र. बी-१४, एस. जी. बर्वेनगर, सुनिसिपल कॉलनी, घाटकोपर (प.), मुंबई-४०००४८. ठिकाण : मुंबई दिनांक : 27/11/2025</div> <div>टी. व्ही. वरमा (उच्च न्यायालय वकील) कानिका, अण्णा बाबू, चॅम्बर नगर-२, मरियम मंदिर, अंधेरी पूर्व, मुंबई-400059</div>

<div>PUBLIC NOTICE</div>
<p>Notice is hereby given that Shri. Vasant M. Gaikar member/owner of Flat No. 24, Bldg No. 1, Kamana Co. Op. Hsg. Soc. Ltd, Near Shree Siddhivinayak Temple, Dadar, Mumbai: 400 028. Society has issued Share Certificate No. 21 bearing shares No. 101 to 105 (both inclusive) in his name.</p> <p>Said Shri. Vasant M. Gaikar died on 23/06/2005. After his demise the said Flat No. 24 in Bldg. No. 1, Kamana CHS Ltd., is now transmitted in the name of Shrimati. Vaishali V. Gaikar.</p> <p>Shrimati. Vaishali V. Gaikar made application to the society that original share certificate No. 21 issued by Kamana C.H.S. Ltd. has been lost/ misplaced and in spite of due and diligent search the original share certificate is not traceable.</p> <p>If any person/s find the same or having any claim in respect of the above-mentioned share certificate is hereby require to make the same known to the undersigned in writing within 15 days from the date of publication with supporting documents to prove the claim. If no claim is received by the undersigned, the Society will decide the matter as deemed fit with regard to issuance of duplicate share certificate to Shrimati. Vaishali V. Gaikar as per the provisions of the Bye Laws of the Society and no claim shall be entertained thereafter.</p> <p>A copy of Published Notice will be displayed at the Notice Board of Kamana C.H.S. Ltd., S.K. Bole Road, Dadar (W), Mumbai: 400 028.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Hon. Secretary, For Kamana CHS Ltd.</p>
<p>Date : 26/11/2025 Mumbai: S. K. Bole Road, Dadar (W), Mumbai: 400 028</p>

<div>जाहीर नोटीस</div>
<p>तमाम लोंकार कळविण्यात येते की, माझे अंशिल हे गाव गोडदेव ता . व जि . ठाणे येथील प्लॉत नं सर्व्हे क्रं .७८, नविन संव्हे क्रं .४, हिस्सा क्रं .५, व क्षेत्र ४८५.५० चौ .मि . हे श्री राघोबाम जितनारायण पांडे हयांच्याकडून विकत घेत आहे. वरील सर जमीन मिळकतीचे प्लान नामांकितार शीथ घेण्याचे व घाटपल्ल/शिंपक निदेश असल्या निघोचेच प्रमाणपत्र देण्याची विनंती केली आहे . तरी सदर मिळकतीदार कोणत्याही व्यक्तीचा/उपमाचा हक्क, अधिकार किंवा हितसंबंध असले त्यांनी ही नोटीस प्रसिध्द झाल्यापासुन १४ दिवसांच्या आत सर्व पुराव्यानिशी खालील पत्त्यावर कळावेत, अन्यथा तसा कोणताही हक्क ओडकार किंवा हितसंबंध नाही व असल्यास ते सोडून दिला आहे असे समजून माझे अंशिल व्यवहार पूर्ण करतील व घाटपल दिले जाईल हयाची नोंद घ्यावी .</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">सही /- हरीश प . पंडारी (वकील) शॉप नं . १०४,गुम आंगिश विन्डींग, मारीगोव्ढ रोड, कनकिया रोड मिरा रोड (पु)ठाणे .</p>

<div>PUBLIC - NOTICE</div>
<p>TAKE NOTICE THAT Shri. Joseph D'Souza, was a member in respect of Flat no 102 in Building at Dhawalgiri Co-op Housing Society Ltd, registered address: plot no 19/20 Andheri East, Mumbai-400093, who expired INTESSTATE on date: 03/12/2022, without making any nomination, leaving behind his wife Smt. Joanna D'Souza and Son Colin Joseph D'Souza. The Society CALL PUBLIC AT LARGE THAT if anyone having any claims/objections for inspection by the daimants/ objectors, in the office of the society with the transfer of Flat No 102, title, capital, share and Interest Into the name of his wife Smt. Joanna D'Souza, such anyone may communicate in writing with supporting documents for the claim/ Objection if any, within a notice of 15 days from publication of this notice. There after received any claims are waived off PLEASE NOTE. If no claims/objections are received within the period prescribed above, the society shall be free to deal with the shares and interest of the deceased member in the capital/ property of the society in such manner as is provided under the bye-laws of the society. The claims/objections, if any, received by the society for transfer of shares and interest of the deceased member in the capital/ property of the society shall be dealt with in the manner provided under the bye-laws of the society. A copy of the registered bye-laws of the society is available for inspection by the daimants/ objectors, in the office of the society with the transfer of the society between 3.00P.M. to 5.00 P.M. within notice period.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">For and on behalf of The Dhawalgiri co-op. Housing Society Ltd. Hon. Secretary</p>
<p>Place: Mumbai Date: 27 Nov 2025</p>

सर्वजनिक सूचना	
<p>मो प्रार्थना सिताराम कनोजिया, रघुनाथ भोजे यांची मुलगी ०२, देवराज जेठा चाळ भटलदेवी मंदिर जवळ, भरुवा रोड दहिसर (पूर्व), मुंबई -४०००६८ येथे राहाता. यादारे सर्वसाधारण्याना कळवली की, आता आम्ही तहसीलदार आणि कार्याकारी दहाधिकारी कार्यालय बोरिवली येथे त्यासाठी अर्ज करण्याची प्रक्रिया सुरू केली आहे.</p> <p>जर कोणत्याही व्यक्तींना सदर जन्म प्रमाणपत्र देण्यास नाही आक्षेप असल,तर ते या सूचना प्रसिद्ध झाल्यापासून १५ (पंधरा) दिवसांच्या आत खालील पत्त्यावर त्यांचे आक्षेप लेखी स्वरुपात आणि साहायक कागदांपासह सादर करू शकतात. तहसीलदार आणि कार्यकारी दहाधिकारी कार्यालय २ व मजला , तहसीलदार इमारत, डॉ. एन.आर. खारोडे मार्ग, एस. व्ही. रोड, नाटकवाला तन मेमेटूर, हरिओम नगर, बोरिवली (पश्चिम), मुंबई - ४०००९२.</p>	
<p style="text-align: right;">सही/- प्रार्थना सिताराम कनोजिया (रघुनाथ भोजे यांची मुलगी)</p>	ठिकाण: मुंबई
दिनांक: २७-११-२०२५	

करून शहिदांना अभिवादन केले.

मुख्यमंत्री श्री फडणवीस यांनी शहिदांच्या कुटुंबीयांची यावेळी भेट घेत त्यांच्या प्रति संवेदना व्यक्त केल्या. या

<div>जाहीर नोटीस</div> <div>मीरा-भायंदर येथील मा. श्री. ए. डी. पाटील, सह दिवाणी न्यायाधिश मीरा-भायंदर हयांच्या न्यायालयात ने. ता. ०३/०१/२०२६ चि. अ. क्रमांक १७१/२०२५ नि. क्रमांक _____</div>	<div>वकील श्रीम. आलाया ए. खान</div>
<div>श्रीमती मेहरुनिसा अब्दुल नईम कामथानी फ्लॅट क्रमांक 006, धार्ती कॉम्प्लेक्स ए बिल्डिंग, इन्व्हरशाइन एन्क्लेव्ह रोड, जिगल बेल स्कूल जवळ, मीरा रोड (पूर्व), ठाणे - 401107. के. अब्दुल नईम कामथानी यांचे पत्नी</div>	
<div>कुमारी रीय अब्दुल नईम कामथानी फ्लॅट क्रमांक 006, धार्ती कॉम्प्लेक्स ए बिल्डिंग, इन्व्हरशाइन एन्क्लेव्ह रोड, जिगल बेल स्कूल जवळ, मीरा रोड (पूर्व), ठाणे - 401107.</div>	
<div>कोणीही नाही</div>	<div>विरुद्ध</div>
<div>ज्याअर्थी वरील अर्जदारा यांनी दिनांक 24-11-25 रोजी Mr. Abdul Naeem Kamthani, died on 2nd September, 2022 रोजी मयत झाले असून अर्जदराने वारस दाखला (Heirship Certificate) मिळवण्यासाठी या न्यायालयात चौकशी अर्ज क्रमांक १७१/२०२५ दाखल केला आहे.</div>	<div>.....अर्जदार</div>
<div>ज्याअर्थी वारस दाखला (Heirship Certificate) मिळवण्याकरिता या न्यायालयात चौकशी अर्ज क्रमांक १७१/२०२५ दाखल केलेला आहे. ज्या कोणी इसमास त्यांचे कायदेशीर वारस. हक्क हितसंबंध किंवा हरकत असले त्यांनी हया न्यायालयात दिनांक 03/01/2026 रोजी सकाळी ११.०० वाजता किंवा ही जाहीर नोटीस प्रसिध्द झाले पासून एक महिन्याचे आत लेखी हरकत दाखल करावी. सहाय्य वारस दाखला (Heirship Certificate) घेण्याबाबत जर कोणत्याही इसमाची हरकत न आल्यास योग्य ते पुरावे व कागदपत्रे घेऊन अर्जदार हयांना वारस दाखला (Heirship Certificate) देण्यात येईल हयाची कृपया नोंद घेण्यात यावी.</div>	
<div>आज दिनांक 26/11/2025 रोजी माझ्या सहनिशी व न्यायालयाच्या शास्त्वानिशी दिली. सही/-</div>	<div>अधीक्षक</div>
<div>सही/- कलंक</div>	<div>सहा. अधीक्षक (आस्थापना) दिवाणी न्यायालय, कनिष्ठ स्तर मिरा-भायंदर</div>

जाहीर नोटीस

तमाम जनतेस ह्या जाहीर नोटीसीद्वारे कळविण्यात येते की, मीजे सुर्यमाला, ता. मोखाडा, जि. पालघर रोजी मृष्ट नारायण भोरे, श्रीमती दुराबाई नारायण भोरे, श्री. विलेख रघुनाथ उबाळे यांच्या मालकी व कळवेलीहातीची खालील वर्णनाची मोपारदवार वर्ग-२ ची पंजिन आहे.

अनु क्र.	गावाचे नाव	मत क्रमांक	खाते क्र.	क्षेत्र (ह.अ.अर.)	आकार (क.प.)
१)	सुर्यमाला	२२०	२०	१.८७.७०	०.८८

गत २०.१२.२०२५ च्या चतुःसिमा खालील प्रमाणे :-

पूर्वस :- गट क्रमांक २३४

पश्चिमेस :- गट क्रमांक १९१

उत्तरेस :- गट क्रमांक २१९

दक्षिनेस :- गट क्रमांक २२१


वरील पंजिन आमचे अशिलानी सदर पंजिन मालकाकडून खरेदी करण्याचे उरविले असून त्याबाबत कुणाच्याकडे व्यवहारालाभ होणाली सुरू आहेत. तरी सदर पंजिनीवर या पंजिनीना कोणत्याही भागार कुणाच्याही गहाण, दान, विक्रीकरार, अधिकारपत्र, खंडेरीखत, न्यायालयांनी प्रलंबित विवाणी दावा या कोटयाची खटला, अपील, परतवार, तक्रारीबाहेर वगैरे या अन्य कोणत्याही प्रकारे हक्क, हितसंबंध असल्यास त्याबाबतच्या येथील हरकती कागदपत्रांसह खालील पत्त्यावर ही नोटीस प्रसिध्द झाल्यापासून १४ दिवसांत नीत्यावधान, मुदतीत हरकती न आल्यास सदर पंजिनी निविघ असल्यास सामान्य आमचे अंशिल पुढील व्यवहार पूर्ण करतील. मुदती नंतर आलेल्या हरकतीची दखल घेतली जाणार नाही याची नोंद घ्यावी.

दिनांक :- २७/११/२०२५

पत्ता :- अॅड. बी.डी. गायकवाड
अॅड. वरमनखे जी. गायकवाड (खरेदीदाराचे वकील)
बी-०३, पहिला मजला, बी विंग, रॉयल पॉईंट-२, नववी रोड, पालघर (प.), ता. जि. पालघर, मा. नं. १२२६२६७६५९१ / ९९७९४८२८६५

THE TATA POWER COMPANY LIMITED					
Regd. Office: Bombay House, 24 Homi Mody Street, Fort, Mumbai - 400001, Maharashtra					
NOTICE is hereby given that the undermentioned securities of the Company has / have been lost/mislaid and the holder(s) of the said securities/ applicant(s) has / have applied to the Company to issue duplicate certificate (s). Any person who has a claim in respect of the said securities/ should lodge such claim with the Company at its, Registered Office within 15 days from this date, else the Company will proceed to issue duplicate certificate(s) without further intimation.					
Name of the Shareholder/s	Folio No.	Certificate No.(s)	Distinctive Nos.	No.of Equity Shares	
Sharukh Burjor Sarkari	H5S00	16558	35196321-35201320	5,000	
Freny Burjor Sarkari	31459	42228	2374885550-2374886249	700	
Noshir Burjor Sarkari					
Name(s) of the holder(s) / Applicant(s)					
1st Holder - Sharukh Burjor Sarkari					
2nd Holder - Freny Burjor Sarkari					
3rd Holder - Noshir Burjor Sarkari					
Place : Mumbai					
Date : 28.11.2025					

THE TATA POWER COMPANY LIMITED				
Regd. Office : Bombay House, 24 Homi Mody Street, Fort, Mumbai - 400001, Maharashtra				
whereby giving that the undermentioned securities of the Company has been lost/mislaid and the holder(s) of the said securities/ applicant(s) has applied to the Company to issue duplicate certificate (s). Any person who has a claim in respect of the said securities/ should lodge such claim with the Company at its, Registered Office within 15 days from this date, else the Company will proceed to issue duplicate certificate(s) without further intimation.				
No. of the order/s	Folio No.	Certificate No.(s)	Distinctive Nos.	No. of Equity Shares
Sharukh Burjor	H5S00	16575	35237781-35239060	1,280
Freny Burjor	77080	42431	2374944354-2374944372	179
Noshir Burjor				
Name(s) of the holder(s) / Applicant(s)				
1st Holder - Sarkari Sharukh Burjor				
2nd Holder - Sarkari Freny Burjor				
3rd Holder - Sarkari Noshir Burjor				
Place : Mumbai				
Date : 28.11.2025				

<div>यूनिऑन बँक </div> <div>असो मी, असो बँक</div> <div><div>Union Bank of India</div></div>	<div>युनियन बँक ऑफ इंडिया</div> <div>(लिक रोड मार्ग (७२८०) शाखा)</div> <div>मार्गे रोड, मुंबई बसपार्क, मुंबई-४००००४.</div> <div>मोबा. : ९८७७७८४०२१</div> <div>ई-मेल:ubini0572829@unionbankofindia.bank</div>
<div>कलम १३(२) अन्वये मागणी सूचना</div>	<div>दिनांक: ११.११.२०२५</div> <div>ठिकाण: मुंबई</div>
<div>संस्थे: मार्श:१३(२):२०२५</div> <div>प्रति,</div> <div>१. कृष्णा शाहु सरकरे (कर्जदार)</div> <div>खोली क्र.३५, हुतुमन टेकडी, रहिवासी संघ, टी.जे. रोड, शिवडी, मुंबई-४०००१५.</div> <div>१.अ) श्री. कृष्णा शाहु सरकरे (कर्जदार)</div> <div>फ्लॅट क्र.३०६, ए विंग, साई प्लाझा, वरसई, नालासोपरा, जिल्हा पालघर.</div> <div>२. श्रीमती श्रुतिका कृष्णा शाहु सरकरे (सह-कर्जदार)</div> <div>खोली क्र.३५, हुतुमन टेकडी, रहिवासी संघ, टी.जे. रोड, शिवडी, मुंबई-४०००१५.</div> <div>२.अ) श्रीमती श्रुतिका कृष्णा शाहु सरकरे (सह-कर्जदार)</div> <div>फ्लॅट क्र.३०६, ए विंग, साई प्लाझा, वरसई, नालासोपरा, जिल्हा पालघर.</div> <div>महोदय/महोदया,</div> <div>विषय: सिक्युरिटायझेशन अँड रिकन्स्ट्रक्शन ऑफ फिनान्शियल अँडेंट्स अँड पर्सनलसेंट ऑफ सिक्युरिटी इंस्ट्रेट अँडेंट २००२ च्या कलम १३(२) सहाय्यित कलम १३(३) अन्वये सूचना.</div> <div>तुम्ही उपरोक्त क्र.१ व २ आमच्या लिंक रोड मार्चे शाखेमुनर पुढील कर्ज सुविधा घेतली आहे आणि तुम्ही उत्तम मान्यता व योग्य लेखा नमुनाप्रमाणे आरबीआय मॉनॅलॅन्सनुसार तुम्ही तुमचे देखके/हजेते/व्याज भरणा केले नाही आणि तुम्हास आरबीआय मॉनॅलॅन्स आणि लेखा निवामानुसार तुमचे खाते २१.०१.२०२५ रोजी एचपीएर खात्यात वर्गीकृत करण्यात आले आहे. तुमच्या खात्यात दिनांक ३१.१०.२०२५ रोजी देय ठ्कम रु.१६,५९,८६६.६५/- (रुपये सोळा लाख एकोणसहा हजार आठोत्तर सहासह आणि पैसे पन्नास फक्त) अशी थकबाकी आहे.</div> <div>तुम्ही क्र.१ व २ यांच्याकडून उपरोक्त नमुद खातेवगळ बँकेकडे देय रकमेचे तपशील खालीलप्रमाणे:</div>	

सुविधेचा प्रकार	३१.१०.२५ या एचपीएर राखेला देय थकबाकी रक्कम	३१.११.२४ ते ३१.१०.२५ रोजी लागू न केलेले व्याज	वॅड व्याज (साधारण)	बँकेला आलेला खर्च/ शुल्क	एकूण देय रक्कम
मुदत कर्ज खाते	रु. १४,६९,४००.५२	१५६०२३.१३	-	रु. ३४४४२.९८	रु.१६,५९,८६६.६५
००००१८२					
बँकेला देय रक्कम प्रतिभूतीकरिता श्री. कृष्णा शाहु सरकरेने श्रीमती श्रुतिका कृष्णा शाहु सरकरे यांनी खालील तक्त्यात नमुद स्थावर मालमतेच्या तारणामार्फत प्रतिभूती दिली आणि दिनांक २५.०३.२०१६ रोजीचे दस्तावेज निष्पादित केले आहेत.					
स्थावर माला मालमतेचे यथार्थ खालीलप्रमाणे:					
फ्लॅट क्र.ए/३०६, ३रा मजला, क्षेत्रफळ ४६.४६ चौ.मी. व्हिल्टअप (५०० चौ.फु. व्हिल्टअप) जेव्हा, साई प्लाझा म्हणून ज्ञात इमारत, बांधकाम जमीन सर्व्हे क्र.७१, ७२, ८६, ८६१, हिस्सा क्र.२, १, १/१, १/३, १/४, १/४, व परती, प्लॉट क्र.१, गाव मोरे, वरसई विहार महानगरपालिकेच्या न्याय्यक्षेत्र, तालुका व नोंदणी उप-जिल्हा वरसई-३, नालासोपरा, जिल्हा व नोंदणी जिल्हा ठाणे.					
सिक्युरिटायझेशन अन्व रिकन्स्ट्रक्शन ऑफ फिनान्शियल अँडेंट्स अँड पर्सनलसेंट ऑफ सिक्युरिटी इंस्ट्रेट अँडेंट, २००२ च्या कलम १३(२) नुसार सदर सूचना प्राप्त ताखेपासून ६० दिवसांच्या आत ठ्कम रु.१६,५९,८६६.६५/- (रुपये सोळा लाख एकोणसहा हजार आठोत्तर सहासह आणि पैसे पन्नास फक्त) तसेच लागू व्याज अथवा कट्टावक शुल्क अधिक कायदेशीर शुल्क तसेच उर्वरित मालमत्वास तुमच्याद्वारे निष्पादित कर्ज दस्तावेजातील अटी व नियमानुसार दुर्भावक शुल्क + कायदेशीर शुल्क तसेच व्याज जमा करावे आणि तुमचे दायित्व माघे. अन्यथा आम्ही तुमच्याकडून उर्वरित रकमेच्या सुसुलीसाठी कायद्याचे न्यायालय/रुण वसुली न्यायाधिकरण मागे तुमच्या विरोधात योग्य कायदेशी कारवाई करू.					
तुम्हाला विनंती आहे की, कायद्याच्या कलम १३(१३) अन्वये सदर सूचना प्राप्तीनंतर बँकेच्या परवानगीशिवाय वरील प्रतिभूतीवह कोणताही व्यवहार करण्यास तुम्हाला रोखण्यात येईल.					
तुमचे लक्ष वेधण्यात येत आहे की, प्रतिभूत मालमता सोडविण्यासाठी उपलब्ध वेळेसमधील परतफेडी कायद्याच्या कलम १३ चे उपकलम ८ अन्वये तरतुद आहे.					
आपले विवासा, युनियन बँक ऑफ इंडियाकरिता सही/- प्राधिकृत अधिकारी					

अभिवादन

परेड कमांडर राखीव पोलीस निरीक्षक रमेश लोखंडे यांनी

कार्यक्रमाचे संचलन केले. वॉड पथकाचे पोलीस निरीक्षक संजय कल्याणे यांनी नेतृत्व केले. सूत्रसंचालन पोलीस निरीक्षक राजेश खुपकर यांनी केले.

<div>जाहीर नोटीस</div> <div>तमाम जनतेला यादारे सूचित करण्यात येते की वसंत अपार्टमेंट को-ओप.हा सो.ली.चे सभासद व सदनिका क्र.103 (13), पहिला मजला, बी विंग, वसंत अपार्टमेंट को-ओप.हा.सो.ली. भास्कर आळी, पी.टी. गव्हाणपूर मार्ग, वरसई (प), जि.पालघर 401201, या मिळकतीचे मालक श्री प्रकाश बाळकृष्ण पारशी हे होते. प्रकाश बाळकृष्ण पारशी हे दिनांक 06/12/2017 रोजी मयत झाले आहे. त्यांचा मृत्युपरन्याय सारर सदनिका त्यांची श्री श्रीमती. किरण प्रकाश पारशी यांच्या नावावर हस्तांतरित केली होती ज्यासाठी श्रीमती. दिपाणी पुनीत गोखाम्नी (विवाहित मुलगी) आणि श्री.कुणाल प्रकाश पारशी (मुलगा) यांनी त्यांचे सर्व हक्क, मालकी हक्क आणि हितसंबंध श्रीमती. किरण प्रकाश पारशी यांच्या नावे हस्तांतरित करण्यास संमती दिली होती. व त्यातील शेअर्स सहित कायदेशीर वारसाच्या आधारे त्यांची पत्नी श्रीमती. किरण प्रकाश पारशी यांच्या नावे सोसायटीची हस्तांतरित केली होती. सदर सदनिका श्रीमती. किरण प्रकाश पारशी या.शी संतुलित प्रभू राजश्या यांना विकण्यास इच्छुक आहेत. तरी प्रकाश बाळकृष्ण पारशी यांच्या कायदेशीर वारसा बाबत जर इतर कोणत्याही व्यक्तीला वा संस्थेला वर उल्लेखिलेल्या मागमतेसंबंधी कोणताही हक्क, दावा आणि हितसंबंध असल्यास तसेच सूचना प्रसिद्ध झाल्यापासून 1६ दिवसांत घुष्टपत्र काढण्याबाबत आमच्या खाती उल्लेखिलेल्या पत्त्यावर लेखी स्वरुपात पाठवावे अन्यथा असे दावे त्यांगत अधिष्ठाणिता समजण्यात येतील.</div>	
<div>दिनांक:27/11/2025</div> <div>सह/-</div> <div>सह: (५)</div> <div>०६. रुचिता सामंत-शेथे</div> <div>सी-1,अंदाडी रोड, वरसई रोड व 401202</div>	<div>सही/-</div> <div>अधीक्षक</div>

<div>जाहीर सूचना</div>
<p>माझे अशील श्री. दीपक शंकरलाला तलरेजा हे फ्लॅट क्र.१५२, २रा मजला, इमारत क्र.जी, प्रेम नगर कोहीसोल, जमीन सर्व्हे क्र.१८, हिस्सा क्र.१ व ३, सर्व्हे क्र.१९, सर्व्हे क्र.२०, हिस्सा क्र.१, सर्व्हे क्र.२२, हिस्सा क्र.२ व सर्व्हे क्र.२८, हिस्सा क्र.३, गाव चैतनी व सर्व्हे क्र.२८, हिस्सा क्र.३, गाव कोणी, कोणी कॉलनी, ठाणे (पूर्व) -४०००६८, ठाणे महानगरपालिकेच्या मर्यादित आणि उप-निबंध ठाणेच्या न्याय्यक्षेत्र (यापुढे सदर फ्लॅट म्हणून संदर्भ) येथील जागेचे मालक आहेत, यांच्या वतीने येथे सूचना देण्यात येत आहे.</p> <p>पूर्वीचे मालक श्रीमती राजकुमारी श्री. हरवनी यांचे सर्व दस्तावेज आजवरील उपलब्ध नाहीत, हावले, गहाळ झाले/भायकुले नाहीत. श्रीमती राजकुमारी श्री. हरवनी, हस्तांतरणकर्त्या, आणि श्रीमती सुनीता एस. रावत, हस्तांतररीती, यांचात दिनांक-१९९२ रोजी केलेला विक्री करानामा नोंदणीकृत नाही.</p> <p>सदर फ्लॅटवर पूर्वीचे मालक श्री. कुमार ईश्वर खतवानी यांचे १६.११.२०२२ रोजी निधन झाले, त्यांचा परतवार श्रीमती माधुरी कुमार खतवानी-पत्नी, कुमारी भावना कुमार खतवानी (उर्फ श्रीमती भावना अजय गंगवानी)-मुलगी व कुमारी आरती कुमार खतवानी-मुलगी हे कायदेशीर वारसदार आहेत, पणु वारसा प्रमाणपत्रा'करिता असे करण्यात आलेले नाही आणि त्यांच्याकडे योग्य न्यायालयाद्वारे विवरीत 'वारसा प्रमाणपत्र' नाही.</p> <p>जर कोणा व्यक्तीस श्री. दीपक शंकरलाल तलरेजा यांच्या व्यक्तििक सदर फ्लॅट किंवा भागाबाबत दावा किंवा अधिकार असल्यास त्यांनी त्यांचा दावा योग्य दस्तावेजी पुराव्यासह लेख</p>